

POLICY: 3.3 INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL
COMMUNICATIONS
POLICY CATEGORY: SUPERINTENDENT LIMITATIONS
PERIOD MONITORED: October 21, 2009 – May 1, 2010
BOARD MEETING DATE: May 10, 2010

This is my monitoring report on the Board of Education's Executive Limitation policy "Internal and External Communications." I certify that the information contained in this report is true and complete, and presented in accordance with the routine monitoring report schedule. This report will monitor the policy starting at its more detailed prohibitions and end with the global prohibition.

Michael F. Paskewicz
Superintendent
May 10, 2010

3.3.1 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not fail to communicate pertinent information to the Board in a timely, accurate, and complete manner.

INTERPRETATION:

I submit the Board's policy is comprehensively interpreted in the following sections. My interpretations and data are presented with the sections below.

I suggest the Boar consider dropping this provision as it is well covered in the balance of the policy statements.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.2 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not neglect to submit monitoring data required by the Board (see policy on Monitoring Superintendent Performance), (a) in a timely, concise, accurate, complete and easily understandable fashion, and (b) directly addressing provisions of Board policies being monitored.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret this *policy wording* to mean:

Information or data is provide in writing to the Board derived from internal or external reports, or direct Board inspection in relation to each end and Superintendent Limitation as set forth by the Board. Data are presented within the dates established by the Board in the Monitoring Report Schedule. Data are presented in succinct, verifiable terms that clearly communicate the intended information relative to Ends and Superintendent Limitations set forth by the Board.

This interpretation can be simply stated as the monitoring reports are accepted by the Board. This interpretation is reasonable as it is based upon the tenets prescribed by the Carver Policy Governance adopted and implemented by the Board and Administration.

DATA REPORTED:

- The Board received its required monitoring reports, as prescribed in Board Policy 2.4 – Monitoring Superintendent Performance.
- With the exception of one monitoring report, all other monitoring reports found that the Superintendent’s interpretations were reasonable, that his conclusions were reasonably supported by data that was relevant, justified and complete.
- The Board required resubmission of monitoring report 3.5 Curriculum and Instruction. The report was resubmitted within the time line required by the Board, and was approved.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.3 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not fail to (a) establish and maintain processes for identifying any actual or anticipated noncompliance with any policy of the Board, regardless of any monitoring report schedule, or (b) report promptly any actual or anticipated noncompliance with any policy of the Board.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret this *(a) establish and maintain a process for identifying any actual or anticipated noncompliance with any policy of the Board, regardless of any monitoring report schedule* to mean:

Administrative staff members at the central office and school level regularly review Board policies and inform the superintendent of potential or real violations of policy. Anticipated violations means that a strong likelihood exists that a proposed or existing practice will violate a policy if the practice is initiated or continues for a period of time. Actual violations means that a policy has not been followed or that an action has caused the policy to be violated. Further, violations, either anticipated or actual, are the result of the policy being unrealistic, a significant blip or that the Superintendent is performing below Board expectations. This interpretation is reasonable because it is grounded in the policy governance process.

DATA REPORTED:

- The established process for identification and reporting of potential or real violations of the interpretations of Board policy has been implemented. A standing agenda item – “Are you aware of any potential or actual violations of the interpretations of Board policy” – is discussed at each of the two monthly administrative team meetings.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret *(b) report promptly any actual or anticipated noncompliance with any policy of the Board* to mean:

Potential violations of Board Policy are reported to the Board of Education at the first available meeting after the potential violation has been reported to the Superintendent. Actual violations of Board policy are reported to the Board President immediately via a phone call and then to the Board in writing following discussion with the Board President.

DATA REPORTED:

- Two reports of violations of Board policy were submitted to the Board during the monitoring period.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.4 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not let the Board be unaware for any unreasonable period of time relevant trends; anticipated adverse media coverage, new legislation or regulations, municipal relationships, and/or threatened or pending lawsuits

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret this *policy wording* to mean:

Patterns of information are considered to be relevant trend data when, in combination over time, would have an impact on the financial, human resources, curriculum, student population, or public image of the school district.

The likelihood that newspaper, radio or television reports of occurrence in or casting the district in a negative light would constitute adverse media coverage.

Statutes or pronouncements enacted by the current year federal, state or local level law makers which are likely to negatively impact the achievement of Board Ends is considered to be new legislation or regulations.

Pending lawsuits are interpreted as claims against the district, which are likely to be made or which have been filed and have not been resolved.

DATA REPORTED:

- The Board has been informed via written updates, phone calls, individual meetings and through meeting in executive session, when allowed by law, of trends. The notification is ongoing and immediate.
- The Board has been informed via email or verbally of anticipated media coverage that could have an adverse impact on the district. Talking points regarding issues have been provided to the Board in advance of the potential contact by the media.
- No potential lawsuits are pending.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.5 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not fail to advise the Board if, in the Superintendent's opinion, the Board is not in compliance with its own policies on Governance Process and Board Relationships, particularly in the case of Board behavior which is detrimental to the work relationship between the Board and the Superintendent.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret *advise the Board if, in the Superintendent's opinion, the Board is not in compliance with its own policies* to mean:

The Board is informed in writing, electronically or orally upon detection of patterns of information when the Board conduct deviates from its established policies.

DATA REPORTED:

Beginning on October 21, 2009 to the date of this report, the Board has not been out of compliance with its policies.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret *Board behavior which is detrimental to the work relationship between the Board and Superintendent* to mean:

Board or board member conduct threatens to negate empowerment of staff established through Board policies or interferes with delineation of roles for the Board and Superintendent established in Board policies.

DATA REPORTED:

The Board has not directed staff other than the Superintendent. The Board and Superintendent have remained in their defined roles.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.6 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not present information in unnecessarily complex or lengthy form or in a form that fails to differentiate among information of three types: (1) monitoring, (2) decision preparation and (3) other.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret this *policy wording* to mean:

The Superintendent presents information that is concise and easy-to-decipher in making a point. Reports are labeled with the appropriate title.

DATA REPORTED:

I have presented a variety of information to the Board for its use in making decisions. These include monitoring reports, consent agenda data, incidental information, and decision making information.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3.7 POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not fail to provide a mechanism for official Board, officer, or committee communications.

INTERPRETATION:

I interpret *mechanism* to mean:

The Superintendent provides a communication vehicle or channel including but not limited to written or oral transmissions such as email, individual updates, Board packets and weekly updates.

DATA REPORTED:

Board members have a variety of communications vehicles including:

- Email weekly (or more frequently when necessary) updates
- Individual phone calls or meetings
- Board packet information
- Meetings
- Weekly contact with the Board president

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

3.3 GLOBAL POLICY LANGUAGE

The Superintendent shall not personally act in a manner, nor knowingly allow, a situation that could jeopardize the District's public image. Accordingly, he/she shall not:

INTERPRETATION:

I submit the Board's policy is comprehensively interpreted in the preceding sections. My interpretations and data are presented with those sections above.

CONCLUSION STATEMENT:

The organization met expectations.

APPROVED: May 10, 2010